## NOMENCLATURAL NOTES FOR THE NORTH AMERICAN FLORA. II.

John T. Kartesz & Kancheepuram N. Gandhi

North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill,
North Carolina 27599 U.S.A.

# ABSTRACT

Continuing with the "Nomenclatural notes for the North American Flora. I.," a second note in the series toward the advancement of our understanding of North American plants is presented here.

KEY WORDS: Floristics, nomenclature, North America, Aquifoliaceae, Asteraceae, Fabaceae, Polygonaceae, Rosaceae, Sapotaceae.

# AQUIFOLIACEAE

In an earlier paper, we (Kartesz & Gandhi 1989) indicated that the name Ilex ambigua (Michaux) Torr. var. monticola (Gray) Wunderlin & Poppleton (Florida Scientist 40:10. 1977) was based on I. monticola Gray, a superfluous name. We also stated that Wunderlin & Poppleton attributed the name I. amelanchier M.A. Curtis var. monticola to "(Gray) Wood." This attribution could have been possible only on the belief that Wood had indeed cited Gray, however he did not. Hence, no parenthetical author should be cited for Wood's combination. Since Gray's epithet is superfluous, Gray must also not be cited as a parenthetical author for Wunderlin & Poppleton's combination. Although Wood's combination is in the protologue of Wunderlin & Poppleton's article, since Gray and Wood used two different types, we did not replace Gray's name by Wood for Wunderlin & Poppleton's varietal name.

After our publication appeared, R.P. Wunderlin informed us that it might be wise to invoke ICBN Art. 33.2, Ex. 6 and consider the citation of "Gray" (the parenthetical author for their new combination) as a bibliographical error for "Wood."

The involvement of two different types poses a problem to accept Wunderlin's statement. We brought to his attention that Wunderlin & Poppleton's protologue of *I. ambigua* var. *monticola* does not comment on the superfluous status of Gray's combination, *I. monticola*, and was in error in assuming that Wood's variety was based on Gray's epithet. However, since Wunderlin emphasized that there was a bibliographical error in Wunderlin & Poppleton's new combination, we accept it. Accordingly, the correct citation is: I. ambigua var. monticola (Wood) Wunderlin & Poppleton.

#### ASTERACEAE

422

# Gnaphalium.

J.C. Grierson (1971) noted that the type of the tropical weed commonly known as Gnaphalium indicum L., belongs to the genus Helichrysum. He therefore transferred this Linnaean epithet to Helichrysum and made the new combination: H. indicum (L.) Grierson. Helichrysum indicum is a South African species. With this disposition of the Linnaean G. indicum, Grierson assigned the combination G. polycaulon Pers. to the tropical weed previously known as "G. indicum."

Accordingly, the "G. indicum auct. non L." found in the New World must be called G. polycaulon. Probably unaware of Grierson's published work, a few modern authors, such as Liogier & Martorell (1982) and McVaugh (1984), have used the name "G. indicum L." However, McVaugh commented that he did not know whether his "G. indicum" is the same as G. indicum of Linnaeus.

Gnaphalium polycaulon is characterized as follows (from Grierson 1971): woolly annual, 10-25 cm tall; several or many stems arising from the base; leaves obovate, 1-4 cm long, 2-12 mm wide; heads 150 to 200 flowered (central bisexual flowers ca. 6); receptacle 1-1.3 mm wide; phyllaries acute, stramineous; pappus bristles separate at the base. The correct nomenclature is given below:

Gnaphalium polycaulon Pers., Syn. 2:421. 1807.

Gnaphalium indicum auct. non L.: Alain, Fl. de Cuba 5:257. 1962; Liogier & Martorell, Fl. Puerto Rico & Adj. Islands 186. McVaugh, Flora Novo-Galiciana 12:455. 1984.

Helianthus.

Helianthus rigidus (Cass.) Desf. (Cat. Pl., ed. 3, 184. 1829) was based on Harpalium rigidum Cass. (Bull. Sci. Soc. Philom. Paris 141. Sep 1818). For Helianthus rigidus ssp. rigidus, Heiser (Mem. Torrey Bot. Club 22[3]:131-138. 1969) cited several synonyms, including Helianthus diffusus Sims (Bot. Mag. 45:2020. Oct 1818) and Helianthus pauciflorus Nutt. (Gen. 2:177. 3 Apr 1818). Clearly, Helianthus pauciflorus has priority over Harpalium rigidum and Helianthus diffusus; hence, the latter two cannot serve as basionyms. For the North American flora, we accept Helianthus pauciflorus Nutt. as the correct name.

Farwell (Amer. Midl. Naturalist 8:278. 1923) reduced Helianthus sub-rhomboideus Rydb. to varietal status (H. scaberrimus Ell. var. subrhomboideus [Rydb.] Farwell). Heiser treated the taxon as a subspecies (H. rigidus [Cass.] Desf. ssp. subrhomboideus [Rydb.] Heiser). We follow Heiser in recognizing H. subrhomboideus at subspecific rank. Since we recognize H. pauciflorus Nutt. as the earliest correct name in the H. rigidus complex, a new combination is proposed here.

Helianthus pauciflorus Nutt. ssp. subrhomboideus (Rydb.) Kartesz & Gandhi, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Helianthus subrhomboideus Rydb., Mem. New York Bot. Gard. 1:419. 1900. TYPE: UNITED STATES. Nebraska: Whiteman, Rydberg 1627.

## **FABACEAE**

Indigofera.

Indigofera keyensis Small is endemic to the Florida Keys. It is similar to I. mucronata Sprengel ex DC., which is a tropical American weed, common in northern South America. Isely (1982) commented that the "Indigofera keyensis of U.S. listings... is I. mucronata, constituting at best a weak variety," and accordingly he made a new combination: I. mucronata Sprengel ex DC. var. keyensis (Small) Isely. Unfortunately, I. mucronata DC. (published in 1825) is a later homonym of I. mucronata Lamarck (published in 1789), thus rendering Isley's new combination to be illegitimate as well.

McVaugh (1987) synonymized Indigofera mucronata DC. under I. jamaicensis Sprengel (published in 1826). Alternatively, de Kort & Thijsse (1984) placed both I. jamaicensis and I. mucronata DC. as synonyms of I. trita L. f. ssp. scabra (Roth) de Kort & Thijsse (based on I. scabra Roth 1821). Both I. scabra and I. trita are based on types from India, whereas both I. jamaicensis and I. mucronata are based on Jamaican types. Isely (pers. comm.) commented that de Kort & Thijsse's study of the American material of this complex was inadequate, and he declined to accept the name I. trita for the New World material. However, we accept the name I. trita for North America and propose the following new combination:

Indigofera trita L. f. var. keyensis (Small) Kartesz & Gandhi, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Indigofera keyensis Small, Flora Florida Keys 63,155. 1913. TYPE: UNITED STATES. Florida: Lower Metacumbe Key, Small 2570. Indigofera mucronata Sprengel ex DC. var. keyensis (Small) Isely, Brittonia 34:340. 1982. Zornia.

The combination Zornia gemella is often attributed to "(Willd.) Vogel." Vogel proposed this name in 1838 (Linnaea 12:61) and cited "Hedys. gemellum W. Hrb. 13777" as a synonym. Dr. Paul A. Fryxell brought to our attention that according to Willdenow Herbarium (1972), specimen no. 13778 is Hedysarum gemellum, whereas specimen no. 13777 is H. conjugatum. Although the name H. gemellum was the basis for the name Z. gemella, the former was not validly published. Vogel was probably the first to effectively publish it. Hence, the author citation for H. gemellum must be "Willd. ex Vogel, pro syn." (ICBN Art. 50A, Ex. 1). Since the name H. gemellum was only a manuscript name at the time Vogel proposed his Z. gemella, Willdenow must not be cited as a parenthetical author for the latter name. The correct citation for the name is Z. gemella Vogel.

#### POLYGONACEAE

Polygonum alaskanum.

Based on Polygonum alpinum All. var. alaskanum Small, at least two new combinations were made: Aconogonum alaskanum Sojak (Preslia 46:150. 1974) and P. alaskanum W. Wight ex Hultén (Fl. Alaska & Yukon 4:610. 1944). For these two combinations, Small has been generally cited as the parenthetical author.

With his original description of *Polygonum alpinum* var. alaskanum, Small cited the earlier legitimate name *P. alpinum* var. lapathifolium Cham. & Schlecht. as a synonym. As a consequence of citation of an earlier valid varietal name as a synonym, Small's varietal name became superfluous (ICBN Art. 63). Hence, Small must not be cited as the parenthetical author when the epithet is used in other combinations.

Polygonum douglasii.

The names *Polygonum douglasii* E. Greene and *P. engelmannii* E. Greene have equal priority (Bull. California Acad. Sci. 1:125-126. 1885). The former represents an entity that is relatively more robust than the latter and has a 3-5 mm long perianth, whereas in the latter taxon, the perianth is 1.5-2.5 mm long. The distinction of these two taxa is not always clear. C.L. Hitchcock (1964) remarked that *P. engelmannii* is close to *P. douglasii*. We recommend that *P. engelmannii* to be treated (at best) at an infraspecific rank. In this connection, a new combination is proposed here.

Polygonum douglasii E. Greene ssp. engelmannii (E. Greene) Kartesz & Gandhi, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Polygonum engelmannii E. Greene, Bull. California Acad. Sci. 1:125. 1885.

#### ROSACEAE

Erythrocoma canescens E. Greene is characterized by leaves dentate at apex; bracteoles shorter than sepals; hypanthium hemispheric or sunken at the base in flower; styles elongating in fruit, plumose at the base, glabrous above, hardly geniculate. P.A. Rydberg (North Amer. Fl. 22:409. 1913) transferred this species to the genus Sieversia Willd.; however, in modern treatments, these two genera are considered as synonyms of the genus Geum L.

C.L. Hitchcock (1961; p. 113) treated Erythrocoma canescens as a synonym of Geum triflorum Pursh var. ciliatum (Pursh) Fassett. For the North American flora, we treat E. canescens as a variety of G. triflorum. This variety is differentiated from the var. ciliatum, with the latter characterized by its dissected leaves and by its bracteoles being longer than the sepals. The following new combination is proposed here:

Geum triflorum Pursh var. canescens (E. Greene) Kartesz & Gandhi, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Erythrocoma canescens E. Greene, Leafl. Bot. Obs. & Crit. 1:178. 1906.

### SAPOTACEAE

The genus Sideroxylon L. (sens. strict.) is characterized by having entire corolla lobes, whereas the genus Bumelia Sw. (sens. strict.) is characterized by 3 segmented corolla lobes. For the North American flora, we recognize Sideroxylon (sens. lat.), including Bumelia (based on priority) and propose the following new combinations.

- Sideroxylon lanuginosum Michaux ssp. albicans (Sarg.) Kartesz & Gandhi, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Bumelia lanuginosa (Michaux) Pers. var. albicans Sarg., J. Arnold Arbor. 2:168. 1921. TYPE: UNITED STATES. Texas: Victoria Co., 9 Apr 1915, Sargent, s.n.
- Sideroxylon reclinatum Michaux ssp. austrofloridense (Whetstone) Kartesz & Gandhi, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Bumelia reclinata (Michaux) Vent. var. austrofloridensis Whetstone, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 72:545. 1985. TYPE: UNITED STATES. Florida: Dade Co., 7 July 1984, Whetstone 14459.
- Sideroxylon reclinatum Michaux ssp. rufotomentosum (Small) Kartesz & Gandhi, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Bumelia rufotomentosa Small, Bull. New York Bot. Gard. 1:440. 1900. TYPE: UNITED STATES. Florida: Tampa, May 1876, Garber s.n.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Paul A. Fryxell (U.S.D.A. Scientist in collaboration with Texas A&M University) and Larry E. Brown (Houston Community College) for their valuable suggestions for the improvement of this manuscript. We also thank Dr. Richard P. Wunderlin (University of South Florida) for the clarification on the nomenclature of *Ilex ambigua* var. monticola. Interlibrary loan of the journal Linnaea from Duke University Library (pertaining to the name Zornia gemella) is appreciated. We thank Dr. Peter White, Director of the North Carolina Botanical Garden, for arranging the financial support to meet the cost of this publication.

#### REFERENCES

- Alain, H. 1962. Flora de Cuba, vol. 5. Editorial Universitaria, Universidad de Puerto Rico, Río Piedras.
- Greuter, W. (Chairman, Editorial Committee). 1988. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature. Adopted by the Fourteenth International Congress, Berlin, July-August 1987.
- Grierson, A.J.C. 1971. The identity of *Gnaphalium indicum* Linn. Notes Roy. Bot. Gard. Edinburgh 31:135-138.
- Hitchcock, C.L. 1961. Rosaceae. Pp. 89-194 in Vascular Flora of Pacific Northwest, part 1. C.L. Hitchcock, A. Cronquist, M. Ownbey & J.W. Thompson, eds. University of Washington Press, Seattle, WA.
- Isely, D. 1982. New combinations and one new variety among the genera Indigofera, Robinia, and Tephrosia (Leguminosae). Brittonia 34:339-341.
- Kartesz, J.T. 1990. Synonymized Checklist of the Vascular Flora of the United States, Canada, and Greenland, ed. 2. Timber Press, Portland, OR. In press.
- & K. N. Gandhi. 1989. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora I. Phytologia 67:461-468.
- de Kort, I. & G. Thijsse. 1984. A revision of the genus *Indigofera* (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae) in southeast Asia. Blumea 30:89-151.

- Liogier, H.L. & L.F. Martorell. 1982. Fl. Puerto Rico & Adj. Islands. Editorial de la Universidad de Puerto Rico, Río Piedras.
- McVaugh, R. 1984. Flora Novo-Galiciana, vol. 12, Compositae. The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1987. Flora Novo-Galiciana, vol. 5, Leguminosae. The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI.
- The Willdenow Herbarium. 1972. Museum Botaniceum Beroliense (B). (7440). Interdocumentation Company AG. Zug, Switzerland.